

AM: M/

30 DEC

DINO SAYS THAT THIS
PAPER HAS BEEN RE-
WRITTEN AS REQUESTED.

DINO WOULD PREFER
THAT [] REVIEW
IT BEFORE IT IS SENT
FORWARD. [] RE-
TURNS TO DUTY 5 JAN.

Declass
Review by
NIMA/DOD

BE-SUSPEND FOR 6 OR
7 JAN ??

TAC.

Call Diño

18 Dec 69

Comments on Indicator planning;

ILLEGIB

2. Sounds to me like whatever
reply we prepare should be
signed jointly by [redacted] &
NPIC, or should indicate in
last para. that [redacted] concurs.

25X1

25X1

3. I do not understand why
we must go through all that
background stuff in the
first 3 pages -- perhaps the
references give some clue?

4. Depending on the requirement
indicated in the references,

I want a terse, to-the-point
memo which says how we
shall go about responding to

JLLEIGIB

[redacted]
a legitimate first-phase WIC
responsibility), and attaches
the lists of indicators.

- I want the memo & the
lists to be coordinated with
[redacted] and DIA & CIA intell.
production offices before
it goes forward.

5. I question the listed indicators
which I have marked.

6. See my other marginal
queries, comments & editorials
on the memo.

25X1